

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

 APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,616 06/30/2001		Tyler A. Lowrey	42390P11412	7217
21906	7590 12/04/2002			
	IER & HU, PC		EXAMINER	
8554 KATY FREEWAY SUITE 100 HOUSTON, TX 77024			PERT, EVAN T	
HOUSTON, I	. //U24		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ahr					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/896,616	LOWREY, TYLER A.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAIL ING DATE of this communication ann	Evan T. Pert	2829					
Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 S	eptember 2002 .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under EDisposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6 and 13-18</u> is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 June 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		` '					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl		ved by the Examiner.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	. ,						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorital application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorital series.	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Then iew Summan	(PTO-413) Paper No(e)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/896,616

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 7-12 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Accordingly, claims 1-6 and 13-18 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to non-elected inventions.

Drawings

2. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

As evidence that Fig. 1 shows only that which is old see, for example, Fig. 1 of U.S. Patent 4,569,120 to Stacy et al. [with anti-fuses 20 comprising chalcogenide material per col. 1, lines 52-59].

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2829

Claim 9

Claim 9 seemingly contradicts claim 7, from which claim 9 depends, since "the conductor" is recited as being "in contact" with "the programmable material" in claim 7, but is then seemingly contrarily "not in contact" in claim 9 where a "barrier material" seemingly would inherently *prevent* contact by inherently intervening "between".

Claim 11

Claim 11 recites that "the spacer" is formed *from* "the dielectric", but "the dielectric" is recited earlier as "the dielectric with the opening", so it is unclear how the spacer is formed "*from* the dielectric". For purposes of examination, "the spacer" is considered as being etched from a dielectric layer different and distinguishable from "the dielectric" already recited in independent claim 7.

Claim 12

In claim 12, the "material" is seemingly recited to *include* a memory element, which doesn't seem to make sense since "the chalcogenide material" is a *sub-part* of a "memory cell", and does not reasonably *include* the cell, but rather the cell includes the chalcogenide material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/896,616

Art Unit: 2829

Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zahorik et al. (U.S. Patent 5,789,277).

Claim 7

'277 discloses "an apparatus" [chalcogenide memory cell array] comprising: "a contact on a substrate" [as in an electrical interface at surface 40 at the bottom of a "contact hole (opening)" in view of col. 7, line 23]; a "dielectric 10 on the contact with opening (hole) exposing the contact" [e.g., breadth X₁ in Fig. 2]; a programmable material 130 "on the contact" [since the plain meaning of "on" does not necessarily require *direct contact*]; and a conductor 120 "in contact with the programmable material 130".

Claim 8

'277 discloses "spacer" 80/100 inherently meeting the "first" and "second" contact area limitations recited in claim 8.

Claim 9

The '277 patent discloses that conductor 120 in contact with material 130 is inherently a "barrier material" (such as "TiN" per col. 8 line 35 in view of statement of inherency at col. 9, line 59), but in view of the rejection under 35 USC 112, it is actually unclear exactly how the barrier layer is situated in applicant's claimed invention.

Claim 10

The '277 inherently teaches signal lines and isolation devices (i.e. "diodes" like applicant's Fig. 1) between the contacts and the signal lines to "perform read/write operations from/to individual chalcogenide memory cells" [col. 10, lines 7-14] such "operations" inherently occurring by electrical signals sent to the upper and lower electrode grids [per col. 9, lines 51-53 and col. 7, lines 14-16] which correspond to the vertical and horizontal lines 10 and 20 in applicant's Fig. 1.

Claim 11

'277 teaches silicon nitride anisotropically etched to form spacers 80 [visible as the transition from Fig. 5 to Fig. 6].

Claim 12

Material 130 is a chalcogenide and '277 discloses the invention as being specifically drawn to "chalcogenide memory devices" [title].

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evan T. Pert whose telephone number is 703-306-5689. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 703-308-1233. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2829

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ETP

December 1, 2002

EVAN PERT